

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/765,369 | | 01/27/2004 | Larry D. McKenna | GLOB-0001 | 1579 |
| 27964 | 7590 | 07/26/2005 | • | EXAMINER | |
| HITT GAI | | | ZEC, FILIP | | |
| P.O. BOX 832570 RICHARDSON, TX 75083 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | | 3744 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summan | 10/765,369 | MCKENNA, LARRY D. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Filip Zec | 3744 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ja | nuary 2004. | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 September 2004</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11. | re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No d in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/6/04. | 4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | | | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | <u> </u> | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/765,369

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 and 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,438,977 to McKay, in view of U.S. Patent 6,314,932 to Kallina. In FIG. 1, McKay discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, a pre-cooling system for use with a condenser unit of an air conditioner, comprising a housing (36) coupled to a top (38 and 16) of a condenser (14, FIG. 3) of an air conditioner (10), said condenser having a substantially vertical exhaust (26 and 28, FIG. 3); a valve (40) mounted in said housing and coupled to a water source (44), said valve capable of operating independently of electrical power (col 2, lines 12-26) due to the fact that it comprises a vane (70, FIG. 3) coupled (50, FIG. 3) to said valve and positionable in said substantially vertical exhaust (see FIG. 3); said pre-cooling system further comprising a water supply tube (42) coupled to said valve and coupleable to said water source, a spray nozzle (32) in fluid communication with said valve and spray tubing (30) interposed said valve and said spray nozzle, substantially as claimed with the exception of stating that said vane comprises an aerodynamically shaped cross section useable to operate said valve, wherein said aerodynamically-shaped cross section has a leading edge and a trailing edge, and wherein said leading edge is substantially thicker than said trailing edge, wherein said aerodynamicallyshaped cross section has an upper surface and an undersurface and wherein said upper surface is

Application/Control Number: 10/765,369

Art Unit: 3744

shaped cross section has an upper surface and an undersurface and wherein said upper surface is longer than said undersurface and wherein said vane has a concave undersurface. Kallina shows a vane comprising an aerodynamically shaped cross section useable to operate a valve (col 6. lines 47-53) to be old in the refrigeration art. Also, the applicant should note that the selection of a shape of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art, In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made from the teaching of Kallina to modify the system of McKay, by having a vane comprising an aerodynamically shaped cross section useable to operate a valve in order to reduce the variation in pressure across the bend area of the runner where the valve stem extends and enable the smooth control of the coupled valve (col 3, lines 1-13).

Page 3

3. Claims 8 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,438,977 to McKay, in view of U.S. Patent 6,314,932 to Kallina, as applied to claims 1 and 10 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent 5,605,052 to Middleton et al. McKay in view of Kallina discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, a pre-cooling system for use with a condenser unit of an air conditioner, substantially as claimed with the exception of stating the use of filter coupled to a valve and coupleable to a water source. Middleton shows the use of filter (38, FIG. 2) coupled to a valve (40, FIG. 2) and coupleable to a water source (36, FIG. 2) to be old in the refrigeration art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made from the teaching of Middleton to modify the system of McKay in view of Kallina, by adding a filter coupled to a valve and coupleable to a water

Application/Control Number: 10/765,369

Art Unit: 3744

4. Claims 9 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,438,977 to McKay, in view of U.S. Patent 6,314,932 to Kallina, as applied to claims 1 and 10 above, further in view of U.S. Patent 5,605,052 to Middleton et al., and still further in view of U.S. Patent 4,392,959 to Coillet. McKay in view of Kallina, further in view of Middleton discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, a pre-cooling system for use with a condenser unit of an air conditioner and a filter, substantially as claimed with the exception of stating said filter comprises hexametaphosphate. Coillet shows the use of hexametaphosphate (col 2, lines 18-24) in a filter to be old in the water purifying art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made from the teaching of Coillet to modify the system of McKay in view of Kallina, further in view of Middleton by adding a filter comprising hexametaphosphate in order to assist and improve downstream purification of water (col 2, lines 47-51).

Page 4

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- U.S. Patent 6,105,376 to Stewart, Peter B. et al teaches a valve and vane structures for water-cooling air conditioner heat exchanger fins.
- U.S. Patent 5,482,211 to Chao, Sidney C. et al. teaches a supercritical fluid cleaning apparatus without pressure vessel.
- U.S. Patent 3,770,242 to O'Connor, Jr. teaches an aerodynamically and hydronomically stable torqued butterfly valve and vane.

Art Unit: 3744

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Filip Zec whose telephone number is (571) 272-4815. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on 571-272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Filip Zec

Examiner

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER:

Art Unit 3744